

Development of Quantitative Verification Capabilities for use with RELAP5-3D and R5EXEC

F.X. Buschman

The Naval Nuclear Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Fluor Marine Propulsion, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Fluor Corporation.

Outline

- Introduction
- Coverage
- Automated Verification
- Quantitative Pass/Fail Testing
- Quantitative Verification of Coupling
- Conclusions



Introduction

- Development of code systems requires verification techniques
 - Should ensure proper implementation of numerical methods as well as models and correlations
- Verification process should independently verify these features with each release
 - Should not rely on regression comparisons or "Golden" files
 - Should include the use of quantitative pass/fail metrics
- Process should be automated
 - Reduce resources required to release code versions
 - Should include documentation of verification results
- NNL has developed an automated quantitative verification suite
 - Used with NUPAC and HYDRA in house versions of RELAP5-3D and R5EXEC



Coverage

- Coverage matrix is integral to a comprehensive verification suite
- Identifies which verification problems exercise which code features and models
 - Identify which problems and features are included in restart testing
- Can be used for multiple functions
 - Demonstrates which features and models are testing in the verification suite
 - Identifies all of the problems that use each feature or model
- Matrix can be generated manually or automatically

NAVAL NUCLEAR

	1	1	T.		-		-										_								
			Tested	Restarted	cpl_cob	cpl_det	cpl_det_new	cpl_det_sa	cpl_det_sas	cpl_drain	cpl_mom	cpl_pvmcore	cpl_pvmcs	cpl_pvmeda	cpl_pvmedca	cpl_pvmedcs	cpl_pvmnd	cpl_pvmpt	cpl_det_kin	cpl_pvmnonc	cpl_nmhstr	cpl_pvmcoresim	cpl_pvmeds	cpl_pvmedacs	cpl_pvmedaps
	Parallel	Synchronous	Yes	Yes									R										Х	Х	Х
bu		Asynchronous	Yes	Yes										R							R			Х	Х
ildu	Sequential	Synchronous	Yes	Yes												R									
Õ	Sequential	Asynchronous	Yes	Yes											R										
cit	Heat Structure	Synchronous	No	No																					
ild		Asynchronous	Yes	Yes																	R				
ш	Control System	Synchronous	Yes	Yes	R						x		R						х						
oling	Semi-Implicit	Yes Yes R X X Synchronous Synchronous Synchronous Synchronous Synchronous Synchronous		x	x	R					R	R		R											
T/H Coup	Semi-Implicit Momentum Conserving	Synchronous	Yes	No																		x			
Other	No T/H with other coupling	Synchronous	Yes	No															x						
	Point Power	Synchronous	Yes	Yes	R													R							
þ	Point volume feedback	Synchronous	Yes	Yes	R													R							
Couplir	Point H/S feedback	Synchronous	Yes	Yes	R													R							
etics (Zone Power	Synchronous	Yes	Yes		x	x										R								
Kine	Zone volume feedback	Synchronous	Yes	Yes		x	x										R								
	Zone H/S feedback	Synchronous	Yes	Yes		x	x										R		x						
	Standalone	Synchronous	Yes	No					Х																
	through HYDRA	Asynchronous	Yes	No				x																	
	pymcatchout		Yes	Yes	R	Χ	X	X	Χ	Χ	Χ	R		R			R	Χ	Х		Х	Х	Х	Х	Х



Automated Verification

- To be effective a verification process needs to be **used**
 - Easy to use
 - Fast running
 - Automated
- NUPAC/HYDRA verification is built around "make"
 - Automatically perform parallel operations without special setup
 - Built-in handling of targets and dependencies
 - Allows for staging of executions
 - Allows for fully regressive processing
 - Widely available
 - Allows an easily extensible verification platform
 - HYDRA verification is a sub-set of NUPAC verification suite
 - Separate target within the Makefile



Automated Verification

- Verification suite identifies simulation failures
 - Message to the user that no failures are detected or which cases have failed
 - Includes failure of post-processing routines
- Automatically identify failures in quantitative testing
- Automation is extended to the generation of documentation

NO VERIFICATION FULL BACKUP FAILURES DETECTED NO VERIFICATION HYDRO BACKUP FAILURES DETECTED NO VERIFICATION FAILURES DETECTED NO VERIFICATION GO/NOGO FAILURES DETECTED NO VERIFICATION RESTART DIFFERENCES DETECTED NO VERIFICATION RESTART OF RESTART FAILURES DETECTED



Quantitative Pass/Fail Testing

Verification of code correlations

- e.g. Fiction factor correlation and frictional pressure drop
- Independent calculations are performed
 - $f\left(Re, \frac{\epsilon}{D}\right)$
 - Using conditions from the model (u, ρ, μ)
- Compare independent calculation to code results





Attribute	Problem	Limit	Value	Pass/Fail	Comments
Zigrang-Sylvester	fric_fac	1.000000e-03	1.224123e-04	Pass	Zigrang-Sylvester Correlation
Option1	fric_fac	1.000000e-03	1.200681e-04	Pass	NUPAC option 1 correlation
Option2	fric_fac	1.000000e-03	2.683179e-05	Pass	NUPAC option 2 correlation
Option3	fric_fac	1.000000e-03	1.949757e-05	Pass	NUPAC option 3 correlation
Design	fric_fac	1.000000e-03	1.242263e-04	Pass	Design Factor
FricDp	fric_fac	1.000000e-01	1.399884e-04	Pass	Frictional Pressure Drop
K re	fric_fac	1.000000e-06	4.730701e-07	Pass	Reynolds Number Dependent K-factor
Soider Tate povise	hostd well	1.000000.01	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Dage	Seider-Tate no viscosity, heated wall correc-
Seider-Tate novisc	neatu_wan	1.0000000-01	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	r ass	tion factor
Seider Tete vise	hoatd wall	1.000000.01	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Page	Seider-Tate w/ viscosity, heated wall correc-
Seruer-rate visc	neatu_wan	1.00000000-01	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 455	tion factor
Option1	hostd wall	1.0000000.01	0.0000000+00	Page	NUPAC Option1, heated wall correction fac-
Орнош	neatu_wan	1.00000000-01	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 455	tor

• Explicit Coupling

Attribute	Problem	Limit	Value	Pass/Fail	Comments
coupled volume	cpl pymes	1.000000-06	$0.000000e \pm 00$	Pass	Explicit parallel synchronous coupling volume
coupled volume	epi-pvines	1.0000000 00	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	1 0.55	and junction quantities
coupled junction	cpl pymeda	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Explicit parallel asynchronous coupling junc-
coupled Janetion	opiepvinouu	1.000000000000	0.0000000000000	1 0.55	tion instantaneous flow at coupling times
coupled volume	cpl_pymeda	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Explicit parallel asynchronous coupling vol-
	• F - F • • • • •				ume quantities at coupling times
coupled junction	cpl_pvmedacs	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Explicit parallel asynchronous coupling junc-
1 5	1 1				tion instantaneous flow at coupling times
coupled volume	cpl_pvmedacs	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Explicit parallel asynchronous coupling vol-
1	1 1				ume quantities at coupling times
coupled junction	cpl_pvmedaps	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Explicit parallel asynchronous coupling junc-
1 5	1 1 1				tion instantaneous flow at coupling times
coupled volume	cpl_pvmedaps	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Explicit parallel asynchronous coupling vol-
_					ume quantities at coupling times
coupled junction	cpl_pvmedca	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	in integrated flow at coupling times
					Junction integrated now at coupling times
coupled volume	cpl_pvmedca	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	explicit sequential asynchronous coupling vol-
					Explicit sequential synchronous coupling iuna
coupled junction	cpl_pvmedcs	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	tion integrated flow
					Explicit sequential synchronous coupling vol-
coupled volume	cpl_pvmedcs	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	ume quantities
					Explicit parallel synchronous coupling junc-
coupled junction	cpl_pvmeds	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	tion instantaneous flow at coupling times
	_				Explicit parallel synchronous coupling volume
coupled volume	cpl_pvmeds	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	quantities at coupling times





• Semi-Implicit Coupling – includes calculation of coupled pressures

Attribute	Problem	Limit	Value	Pass/Fail	Comments
coupled pressure	cpl_cob	2.000000e-04	1.864387e-04	Pass	Semi-implicit coupling; relative error in coupled pressures between NUPAC and COBRA
coupled pressure	cpl_mom	2.000000e-04	1.893863e-04	Pass	Semi-implicit coupling; relative error in coupled pressures between NUPAC and COBRA
csa pres	cpl_pvmcore	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	Comparison of coupled volume pressure to standalone problem
csa vel	$cpl_pvmcore$	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Comparison of coupled junction velocity to standalone problem
coupled junction	cpl_pvmcore	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled junction quantities
coupled pressure	cpl_pvmcore	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled volume pressures
csa pres	cpl_pvmcoresim	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	Comparison of coupled volume pressure to standalone problem
csa vel	cpl_pvmcoresim	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	Comparison of coupled junction velocity to standalone problem
coupled junction	cpl_pvmcoresim	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	\mathbf{Pass}	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled junction quantities
coupled pressure	cpl_pvmcoresim	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled volume pressures
csa pres	cpl_pvmnonc	1.000000e-03	1.220703e-04	Pass	Comparison of coupled volume pressure to standalone problem
csa vel	cpl_pvmnonc	1.000000e-03	4.768372e-07	Pass	Comparison of coupled junction velocity to standalone problem
csa qualan	cpl_pvmnonc	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Comparison of coupled volume quala(n) to standalone problem
coupled junction	cpl_pvmnonc	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled junction quantities
coupled pressure	cpl_pvmnonc	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled volume pressures
coupled qualan	cpl_pvmnonc	1.000000e-06	0.000000e+00	Pass	Comparison of semi-implicit coupled volume qualan





Attribute	Problem	Limit	Value	Pass/Fail	Comments
nm tomp	enl nmhetr	1.000000.03	3 6621000 04	Decc	Comparison of nupac node temperature to
nni temp	cpr_mmsu	1.00000000-03	3.0021090-04	1 455	melcor temperature
nm aflur	anl nmhetr	1.000000 04	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	Deca	Comparison of nupac surface heat flux to mel-
nin quux	cpr_mmsu	1.0000000-04	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	r ass	cor heat flux
nm tomn	anl nmhatra	1.000000.02	2 6691000 04	Pass	Comparison of nupac node temperature to
nm temp	cpi_mmstrs	1.00000000-05	3.0021090-04		melcor temperature
nn cfur	anl nmhatra	1.000000.04	0.0000000 + 00	$\mathbf{D}_{0} \perp \mathbf{D}_{0}$ D ₂ r ₂	Comparison of nupac surface heat flux to mel-
nin quux	cpi_minstrs	1.00000000-04	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	rass	cor heat flux



Conclusions

- An automated, quantitative, verification process has been developed for use with NUPAC and HYDRA and can be extended to RELAP5-3D and R5EXEC
- Quantitative pass/fail metrics to demonstrate verification status
- Allows positive verification of code features with each release
 - Does not rely upon daisy chain verification
 - Does not rely upon golden files
- Automation enables use
 - Easy to use and fast running
 - Includes identification of code failures or failing performance

