# Dynamic PRA of a Multi-unit Plant

D. Mandelli, C. Parisi, A. Alfonsi, D. Maljovec, S. St Germain, R. Boring, S. Ewing, C. Smith, C. Rabiti

dov.

Idaho National

Laboratory



IRUG Meeting – Idaho Falls, April 18<sup>th</sup> 2019



### Multi-Unit Analysis: Overview

- Objectives: perform an integral multi-unit plant analysis using a simulationbased approach
  - Timing/sequencing of events among units
  - Large variety of interdependencies



- Analysis summary:
  - TH modeling of PWRs and Spent Fuel Pools (SFPs)
  - Human reliability models (HUNTER)
  - Dynamic PRA
  - Modeling of control logic at the site level
  - Heavy use of Reduced Order Models (ROMs)
  - Data analysis of large and complex dataset



**RELAP5-3D** 

RAVEN







### **Plant and Recovery Actions**

#### • Unit dependencies:

- Plant electrical system (EDGS) and AC cross-tie
- AFW and CST cross-ties
- Plant recovery crew, Emergency Portable Equipment (EPE)

#### • 3 formulated recovery strategies:

- Sequence of unit recovery based on a prioritization scheme
  - Depending on unit status
  - One unit at a time
- Sequence might change depending on accident progression
  - e.g., erroneous alignment of EDGS





#### **Accident Progression: Example**





## **RISMC Multi-Unit Modeling**

- 23 stochastic variables:
  - Timing of events
  - Recovery actions
  - Human interventions
- Site deterministic model:
  - RAVEN Ensemble model

#### • Plant model:

- RAVEN external model
- Handle plant and recovery control logic
- Human reliability models included
- Determine timing of events for all 6 models given values of 23 sampled parameters
- HRA methods:
  - HUNTER: sub-task modeling
  - THERP: EDGS erroneous alignment





## **ROM Modeling**

- Multi-unit analysis issues:
  - Large computational cost
  - High probability of simulation crash
- Solution: employ ROMs
  - 1. Sample code response
  - 2. Choose and train ROM
  - 3. Validate ROM response
- ROM employed: KNN
  - Prediction performed by considering K neighbor samples
  - K is chosen based on validation results (3-fold validation process)
- Training samples size chosen based on convergence prediction

	Parameter	Optimal	Training	Validation	Prediction
Model	Count	k	$\mathbf{size}$	$\mathbf{size}$	accuracy (%)
PWR1	7	5	4596	2500	100.0
PWR2	3	25	4951	2662	99.36
PWR3	10	50	12000	5988	100.0
SFP1	3	5	2883	2876	99.72
SFP2	5	5	4695	4714	99.02
SFP3	3	5	2807	2817	99.04





## Multi-Unit PRA

- Approach: Monte-Carlo
  - 1.1 M samples
- For each simulation the outcome of each model/ROM is collected
  - OK or failed
- Such a large coupling among units strongly affects timing and sequencing of events
  - Valid even from a probabilistic point of view
  - Here classical tools show their limitations





## Data Analysis

- CD probability is typically determined for a single model (PWR or SFP)
- At a plant level, a probability value is associated to a Plant Damage State (PDS)
- A PDS is a 6-dimensional vector:
  - Each vector element describes the status of a model (OK or CD)
  - Vector elements are highly correlated
  - $-2^6 = 64$  PDSs allowed
- Approach:
  - 1. Group simulation runs based on their own PDS
  - 2. Evaluate probability associated to each PDS and rank PDSs based on their probability values.
  - 3. Identify commonalities that characterize each PDS



• 14 out of 64 PDSs were actually generated

ID			PDS	5				Probability	
	PWR1	PWR2	PWR3	SFP1	SFP2	SFP3	mean	$5^{th}$	$95^{th}$
8	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.890199555	0.889684864	0.890713359
12	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.058915971	0.058529164	0.059303781
10	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.033966983	0.033669558	0.034265467
9	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	CD	0.012604994	0.012422046	0.012789049
24	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.002102999	0.002028218	0.002178912
13	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	0.001172999	0.001117271	0.001229862
14	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	0.000581	0.00054194	0.000621195
11	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	CD	0.000165	0.000144457	0.00018668
26	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.000156	0.000136041	0.000177095
28	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.000111	9.43E-05	0.000128878
25	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	CD	1.10E-05	$6.17 \text{E}{-}06$	1.70E-05
15	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	6.00 E-06	$2.61 \text{E}{-}06$	$1.05 \text{E}{-}05$
30	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	OK	$5.00 \text{E}{-}06$	$1.97 \text{E}{-}06$	9.15E-06
29	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	CD	1.00E-06	5.13E-08	3.00E-06



CST is intact + multiple recovery actions available

ID			PDS	3			Probability					
	PWR1.	TWR2	PWR3	SFP1	SFP2	SFP3	mean	$5^{th}$	$95^{th}$			
8	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.890199555	0.889684864	0.890713359			
12	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.058915971	0.058529164	0.059303781			
10	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.033966983	0.033669558	0.034265467			
9	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	CD	0.012604994	0.012422046	0.012789049			
24	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.002102999	0.002028218	0.002178912			
13	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	0.001172999	0.001117271	0.001229862			
14	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	0.000581	0.00054194	0.000621195			
11	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	CD	0.000165	0.000144457	0.00018668			
26	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.000156	0.000136041	0.000177095			
28	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.000111	9.43E-05	0.000128878			
25	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	CD	1.10E-05	$6.17 \text{E}{-}06$	1.70E-05			
15	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	6.00E-06	$2.61 \text{E}{-}06$	1.05E-05			
30	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	OK	$5.00 \text{E}{-}06$	1.97 E-06	9.15 E-06			
29	OK	CD	CD 👡	CD	OK	CD	1.00E-06	5.13E-08	3.00E-06			

PWR3 can be recovered only within 50 min after SBO condition



Results			SFF but able	P LOCAs recovery e to mitig	are pr actior ate the	resent is are em	<ul> <li>SFP LOCAs are modeled through 2 stoch. params:</li> <li>SFP Loca time</li> <li>SFP Loca size</li> </ul>			
	ID			PDS	5	$\vee$			Probability	
_		PWR1	PWR2	PWR3	SFP1	SFP2	SFP3	mean	$5^{th}$	$95^{th}$
_	8	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.890199555	0.889684864	0.890713359
	12	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.058915971	0.058529164	0.059303781
	10	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.033966983	0.033669558	0.034265467
	9	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	CD	0.012604994	0.012422046	0.012789049
	24	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.002102999	0.002028218	0.002178912
	13	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	0.001172999	0.001117271	0.001229862
	14	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	0.000581	0.00054194	0.000621195
	11	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	CD	0.000165	0.000144457	0.00018668
	26	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.000156	0.000136041	0.000177095
	28	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.000111	9.43E-05	0.000128878
	25	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	CD	1.10E-05	6.17 E-06	1.70E-05
	15	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	6.00 E-06	2.61E-06	1.05E-05
	30	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	OK	5.00E-06	$1.97 \text{E}{-}06$	9.15E-06
_	29	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	CD	1.00E-06	5.13E-08	3.00E-06













ID			PDS	5	Probability				
	PWR1	PWR2	PWR3	SFP1	SFP2	SFP3	mean	$5^{th}$	$95^{th}$
8	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.890199555	0.889684864	0.890713359
12	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.058915971	0.058529164	0.059303781
10	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.033966983	0.033669558	0.034265467
9	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	CD	0.012604994	0.012422046	0.012789049
24	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.002102999	0.002028218	0.002178912
13	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	0.001172999	0.001117271	0.001229862
14	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	0.000581	0.00054194	0.000621195
11	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	CD	0.000165	0.000144457	0.00018668
26	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.000156	0.000136041	0.000177095
28	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.000111	9.43E-05	0.000128878
25	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	CD	1.10E-05	$6.17 \text{E}{-}06$	1.70E-05
15	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	6.00 E-06	$2.61 \text{E}{-}06$	1.05E-05
30	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	OK	5.00E-06	$1.97 \text{E}{-}06$	9.15E-06
29	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	CD	1.00E-06	5.13E-08	3.00E-06



EPE on Unit 1

Results

Recovery strategy 3 Involuntary alignment of EDGS

EPE on Unit 3



#### EDGS involuntary alignment time

ID			\ PDS	5				Probability	
	PWR1	PWR2	PWR3	SFP1	SFP2	SFP3	mean	$5^{th}$	$95^{th}$
8	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.890199555	0.889684864	0.890713359
12	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.058915971	0.058529164	0.059303781
10	OK	OK	$CD \setminus$	OK	CD	OK	0.033966983	0.033669558	0.034265467
9	OK	OK	CD	OK	OK	CD	0.012604994	0.012422046	0.012789049
24	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	OK	0.002102999	0.002028218	0.002178912
13	OK	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	0.001172999	0.001117271	0.001229862
14	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	0.000581	0.00054194	0.000621195
11	OK	OK	CD	OK	CD	CD	0.000165	0.000144457	0.00018668
26	OK	CD	CD	OK	CD	OK	0.000156	0.000136041	0.000177095
28	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	OK	0.000111	9.43E-05	0.000128878
25	OK	CD	CD	OK	OK	CD	1.10E-05	6.17 E-06	1.70E-05
15	OK	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	6.00E-06	2.61E-06	1.05E-05
30	OK	CD	CD	CD	CD	OK	5.00E-06	1.97 E-06	9.15 E-06
29	OK	CD	CD	CD	OK	CD	1.00E-06	5.13E-08	3.00E-06



## Summary

- First step toward a simulation-based approach to analyze multi-unit plants
- Focus on recovery actions
  - No additional failures were introduced in the analysis
- Scope
  - Identify optimal recovery actions
- Future work
  - Extend analysis to Level 2 analysis